

**REMARKS**

This Amendment is submitted in response to the Office Action dated April 20, 2005, having a shortened statutory period set to expire July 20, 2005. The present amendment proposes amending Claims 1 and 5-6, canceling Claims 4 and 7-16, and adding Claims 17-27. Upon entry of the proposed amendments, Claims 1-3, 5-6 and 17-27 will be pending.

The Applicants' undersigned legal representative appreciate the time and courtesy of the Examiner during a teleconference held on July 18, 2005. No agreement regarding patentability of the pending claims was reached during this teleconference.

**Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 102**

In paragraph 2 of the present Office Action, Claims 1-11 and 13-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by *Sanders* (U.S. Patent No. 5,734,831 – “*Sanders*”). In paragraph 3 of the present Office Action, Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by *Popp et al.* (U.S. Patent No. 6,249,291 – “*Popp*”). Applicants respectfully traverse these rejections as applicable to the pending claims.

*Sanders* teaches a method and system for configuring a computer using HTML pages. A user enters input into a webpage, which results in running script that performs specific administrative tasks on a network server, such as adding new accounts for access to the server. (*Sanders*, col. 1, line 59 to col. 2, line 24.) *Popp* teaches a method and system for creating web pages using templates that are populated by HTML documents. (*Popp*, col. 3, line 53 to col. 4, line 19.)

With reference to exemplary Claim 1, neither cited prior art teaches or suggests “in response to the subsequent user-interface component of the script being started,” “removing the first user-interface from a system memory in the computer” while “the software in the container/desktop automatically” closes the first user-interface. (*See page 14, lines 18-23; page 16, lines 11-13 of the present specification for supports of these claimed features.*) That is, the cited prior art does not teach or suggest completely dumping a GUI (“user-interface component”) out of system memory when that GUI is no longer being displayed.

With reference to new Claim 17, the cited prior art does not teach or suggest a method in which “the first user-interface component directly passes data to the subsequent user-interface component before the first user-interface component closes.” (*See page 17, lines 10-14 of the present specification for support of this feature.*) The cited art does not teach or suggest any type of data passing between GUIs, particularly any direct passing of data between GUIs.

With reference to new Claim 19, the cited prior art does not teach or suggest decoupling GUIs “via a script on a server managing a contract between the script and a policy of the container/desktop” (*as supported on page 24, lines 14-15 of the present specification*). These claimed policies may describe the “number of tasks that can be simultaneously executed on a client computer (*Claim 20, supported on page 14, lines 10-11 of the present specification*), or they may describe a visual policy that “describes a position, sizing and cropping” of the GUI (*Claim 21, supported on page 14, lines 14-18 of the specification.*)

New Claims 22-27 are computer-usable medium claims that claim features found in method Claims 1 and 17-21.

CONCLUSION

As the cited prior art does not teach or suggest all of the presently claimed limitations, Applicants now respectfully request a Notice of Allowance for all pending claims.

If the Examiner believes that a telephone call to Applicants' undersigned legal representative would be helpful in promoting some or all of the pending claims to allowance, such a call would be greatly appreciated.

No extension of time for this response is believed to be necessary. However, in the event an extension of time is required, that extension of time is hereby requested. Please charge any fee associated with an extension of time as well as any other fee necessary to further the prosecution of this application to **IBM CORPORATION DEPOSIT ACCOUNT No. 09-0461**.

Respectfully submitted,



James E. Boice  
*Registration No. 44,545*  
DILLON & YUDELL LLP  
8911 North Capital of Texas Highway  
Suite 2110  
Austin, Texas 78759  
512.617.5533

ATTORNEY FOR APPLICANT(S)